

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK Wighington DOC 2023

Address	COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
	Washington D C 20234
	www.uspho.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09.642,785	08.22.2000	Woo Nam Jeong	2658-0166p	2432	
75	90 12 26 2002				
Birch Stewart Kolasch & Birch LLP			FXAMINEF		
P O Box 747 Falls Church, VA 22040-0747	A 22040-0747		NGUYEN, HOAN		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2871		
			DATE MAILED: 12/26/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					De	
		Applica	ation No.	Applicant(s)	740	
			2,785	JEONG ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examir	ner	Art Unit		
,		HOAN	C. NGUYEN	2871		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appears on	the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence add	ress	
THE I - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any i	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3) period for reply is specified above, the maximum streeto reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no numication. 30) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the a	statutory minimum of thir d will expire SIX (6) MON application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this com BANDONED (35 U S C. § 133)	nmunication.	
1)	Responsive to communication(s) fi	led on <u>25 Novembe</u>	<u>er 2002</u> .			
2a) ⊡	This action is FINAL .	2b) This action	is non-final.			
3)	Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the practice.				merits is	
Dispositi	on of Claims		-			
4)	Claim(s) $1-15$ is/are pending in the	application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a	re withdrawn from	consideration.			
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊡	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or election	n requirement.			
Applicat	on Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted or b)	objected to by f	he Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any ob	jection to the drawing	(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11)	The proposed drawing correction file	d on is: a)] approved b)☐ (lisapproved by the Examiner		
l'	If approved, corrected drawings are re	,	Office action.			
12)`	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner.				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Interresee the attached detailed Office actions.	national Bureau (PC	T Rule 17.2(a)).		tage	
	acknowledgment is made of a claim to		•		annlication)	
_a) The translation of the foreign la	nguage provisional	application has b	een received.	.ppnoadolt).	
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	tor domestic priority	under 35 U.S.C.	§§ 120 and/or 121.		
Attachmen	` '					
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) F			Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s Informal Patent Application (PTO-		

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

1. Claims 1-2, 4-12 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Choi (US6326641B1).

In regard to claim 1, Choi discloses as prior arts (Figs. 1) a liquid crystal display device comprising:

- a gate line 2/3 connected to an integrally formed gate electrode 4;
- a data line 16/18 crossing the gate line and connected to an integrally formed source electrode 6;
- a protective film 26 formed on the gate line and on the data line;

Application/Control Number: 09/642,785 Page 3

Art Unit: 2871

 a pixel electrode 12 formed on the protective film and connected to a drain electrode, a first portion of the pixel electrode overlapping the data line and being laterally spaced a first distance from the gate line;

 a storage electrode connected to the pixel electrode and overlapping the gate line.

wherein

- a portion of the storage electrode is laterally spaced a second distance from the data line according to claim 2.
- the first portion of the pixel electrode and the portion of the storage electrode are located in at least one corner of the pixel electrode according to claim 4.
- a second portion of the pixel electrode overlaps a data line adjacent to the data
 line overlapped by the first portion of the pixel electrode according to claim 5.
- the first and second portions of the pixel electrode extends along an entire side of the pixel electrode according claims 6 and 7.
- the pixel electrode includes: a gate overlapping part overlapping a gate line on a side of the pixel electrode opposite from the storage electrode according to claim 10.
- the pixel electrode includes four sides and four corners, the four corners being laterally spaced from the data and gate lines, and two of the four sides laterally overlapping adjacent data lines; and at least one of the four sides laterally overlaps a gate line according to claims 11 and 12.

Art Unit: 2871

In regard to claims 8 and 9, Choi discloses as prior arts (Figs. 1-2) a liquid crystal display device comprising the storage electrode is integral to the pixel electrode 20 at a contact hole (as Fig. 2 shown, storage electrode includes 2 layers, which are lower layer 22 and upper layer integrating with pixel electrode at the contact hole, please see attachment).

In regard to claim 15, Choi discloses as prior arts (Figs. 1) a liquid crystal display device comprising:

- a gate line 16 connected to an integrally formed gate electrode 4;
- a data line 3 crossing the gate line, and connected to an integrally formed source electrode 6;
- a protective film 26 formed on the gate line and on the data line;
- a pixel electrode 12/20 formed on the protective film and connected to a drain electrode, a portion of the pixel electrode overlapping the data line;
- a storage electrode connected to the pixel electrode and overlapping the gate line, a portion of the storage electrode being laterally spaced a distance from the data line.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

Art Unit: 2871

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Choi (US6326641B1).

Choi fails to disclose the protection layer is an organic film made of Benzocyclobutence (BCB) having a dielectric constant of 1.5-30.

However, This material BCB is conventional materials using to make protection or passivation layer. This BCB having a dielectric constant of 2.7-3.0 is well known physical property of BCB.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify a liquid crystal display device as Choi disclosed with the protection layer is an organic film made of Benzocyclobutence (BCB) for planarizing the pixel electrode formed thereon.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 3 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art of record does not anticipate obvious to one ordinary skill in the art of a liquid crystal display device further comprising (see attachment)

 the pixel electrode overlapping with data line and being laterally spaced a <u>first</u> distance of about 5μm from gate line;

Art Unit: 2871

 a storage electrode connected to the pixel electrode and overlapping the gate line, wherein a portion of the storage electrode is laterally spaced a second distance of about 5μm from the data line.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on <u>Feb. 4, 2002</u> have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's ONLY arguments are follows:

Choi fails to disclose (a) the pixel electrode is separated by an interval α on both sides of gate lines; (b) an interval between the pixel electrode and data line

Examiner's responses to Applicants' ONLY arguments are follows:

Claim 1 does not recite (a) the pixel electrode is separated by an interval α on both sides of gate lines; (b) an interval between the pixel electrode and data line.

Claim 1 recites (a) the pixel electrode being laterally spaced a first distance from the gate line (not both sides of gate line), (b) a first portion of the pixel electrode overlapping the data line.

Conclusion

3. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 2871

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HOAN C. NGUYEN whose telephone number is (703) 306-0472. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-THURSDAY:8:00AM-4:30PM.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0530.

HOAN C. NGUYEN Examiner

Art Unit 2871

chn

December 23, 2002